ISSA DC August 17, 2023

US Biden EO 14086 DATA PRIVACY FRAMEWORK: IS IT EU ADEQUATE?

Linda V. Priebe, JD, CIPP/E

Co-Chair, Government, Regulatory & Compliance Practice Group Culhane Meadows, PLLC Washington, DC Ipriebe@cm.law



Linda V. Priebe, JD, CIPP/E,

Partner & Co-Chair, Government, Regulatory & Compliance Practice Group Culhane Meadows PLLC, Washington, DC, USA, email: <u>lpriebe@cm.law</u>

- Culhane Meadows EU-U.S. data privacy/protection compliance & transactions DC, 2014-present
 - CIPP/E certified Int'l Assoc of Privacy Professionals, 2016-present
 - Drafted/Negotiated 500+ GDPR & CCPA/CPRA data privacy/protection agreements/addenda
- Former DGC & Agency Ethics Official, White House Office of Drug Policy, DC, 1999-2013
 - Ethics &Compliance Program Management & Operation including children's privacy, & social & digital media compliance
- Co-Chair & Vice Chair, ABA ILS Privacy, Cybersecurity & Digital Rights Committee 2016-present
- ABA President's Cybersecurity Legal Task Force 2022-present
- Adjunct Professor, U.S. & EU Data Privacy & Cybersecurity, Fordham Law School, Masters
 Program in Corporate Compliance, 2021-present



EU-U.S. Data Access/Transfers/Flows Basic Legal Concepts

- GDPR= much more protective of individs located in EU (res/cit not req'd) than U.S.
- Fund'l Human Right
- Fines up to 4% Gross Sales/Proceeds (versus profits) or 20 Mill Euros (whichever is higher) EX: Irish DPC v. FB/Meta \$1.3 Bill; Norway \$96K/day
- Worse EU-US PD Access/Transfers/Flows must use & comply with an EU GDPR legal adequacy mechanism like SCCs, BCRs, Compliance Framework (EU-US Priv Shield) OR data access/transfers/ flows can be cut off/suspended by EU regulators EX: Irish DPC v. FB/Meta w/in 5 mos





EU-U.S. Data Access/Transfers GDPR Broad Definitions

- Protected Persons = consumers + biz POCs in pro capacity + employees + temp workers + K'tors located in EU/EEA/Switzerland/UK even in workplace + using employer's equipment.
- PI/PD = Any info alone or in combo capable of being used to ID an individual (w/o attempt to actually ID individ)
 - e dynamic IP addresses & device IDs
- Transfers/Flows = access EU PD from devices located outside the EU/EEA/UK/Switz
- GDPR Extraterritorial Jurisdiction Triggered by U.S. Companies when
- Workforce member (1+) OR Equipment (inc'g computers) OR Offices in EU/EEA/UK/Switzerland OR
 - Offering Goods/Services [online] to Persons in EU/EEA/UK/Switzerland
 - Monitoring [local] behavior of Persons in EU/EEA/UK/Switzerland =
 - Tracking online or
 - **Profiling** to predict preferences = Digital Ads & Google & FB Analytics
- GDPR requires EU approved int'l data transfer legal adequacy mechanism for EU-US PD access/transfers/flows (essentially GDPR equivalent); i.e. SCC, BCR, EU-U.S. framework (former EU-U.S. Privacy Shield/Biden DPF)
 ULHANE
 TADOWIC

Schrems II = Max Schrems vs Facebook/Meta

- 2013 Schrems (law student now Lawyer) filed complaint w/ Irish DPC v.
 Facebook re: potential US law enforcement (NSA FISA) access to his PD on/in Facebook
- <u>2015 CJEU Schrems I:</u> US-EU Safe Harbor compliance framework for EU PD access /transfers/flows to/in U.S. = invalid + SA's can suspend PD trans/access/flows.
- 2015 Schrems resubmitted complaint v FB to Irish DPC to enforce Schrems I.
- 2016 EC deemed updated EU-U.S. Privacy Shield compliance framework adequate for EU-US PD access/transfers/flows
- <u>2020 CJEU Schrems II</u>: Struck down EU-U.S. Privacy Shield for EU-U.S. data access/transfers/flows due to USG access per FISA 702 & EO 12333
 - Req's TIAs + Supp Sec Measures Ever Participated in TIA? Raise Your Hand!
- Watch This Space: Schrems III is expected



Biden EO 14086: U.S. Signals Intelligence Activities For EU-U.S. Data Access/Transfers – Overview

- Updates EU-US Privacy Shield for US orgs self-cert GDPR compliance re: EU-US data access/transfers/flows
- Designed to resolve Schrems II concerns re: breadth of US Intel Agencies access to EU PD (via electronic communications) under FISA 702 (w/in US = FISA Ct) & EO 12333 (outside US – no FISA Ct) by providing more privacy protections to Foreign Persons.
- FISA 702 still more privacy protections for US Persons than Biden EO provides Foreign Persons, but both allow USG to target persons who present no threat to US Nat'l Security.
- FISA 702 C Reauth pending Pres Intell Adv Bd 13 Recs



Biden EO 14086: U.S. Signals Intelligence Activities For EU-U.S. Data Access/Transfers – Overview 2

Under Biden EO U.S. Intel agencies permitted to conduct electronic surveillance for foreign intel ("signal activities") only when:

- necessary & proportionate (Int'l law definition rejected by DOJ) to advance 1 of 12 "legitimate" U.S. nat'l security objectives "validated" by the Civil Liberties Protection Officer of the U.S. Director of National Intelligence (CLPO);
- "privacy and civil liberties of all persons, regardless of nationality or country of residence" is taken into consideration & all available less intrusive means;
- subject to new data minimization, sharing & retention limits. (Note post data collection limits)
- <u>BUT</u> BULK Surveillance unlimited by "legitimate objectives" & w/o warrant still permitted (may sweep up U.S. Persons + Foreign Persons)



Biden EO 14086: PD Collection Permitted ONLY for 12 Legitimate National Security Objectives

Assess capabilities/intentions/activities of:

- Foreign Gov/Mil/Faction/Polit Orgs
- Int'l Terror Orgs
- Global Sec threats = climate, pub health, humanitarian, polit instability, geographic rivalry

Protect against:

- Foreign Military capabilities & activities
- **Terror/HostageTaking** for US & other For Govs/Orgs/Persons
- Foreign Gov Espionage/Sabotage/Assassination/Other Intel Activities
- Devel/Possess/Proliferation of WMDs
- Cyber threats/Malicious Cyber Activity
- Threats to US or Allied Personnel
- **Crime** = illicit finance & sanctions evasion
- Physical/electronic threats to Election Integrity/Polit Processes/Gov Prop/US Infrastructure

Advance collection/capabilities/activities to further above

Note: Most EU Member States not as transparent re: own collection of Intel Data



Biden EO 14086: Redress Mechanism

EO creates a **2-tiered system** to review and resolve complaints from individuals in the EU about U.S. signals intelligence activities:

 CLPO (Civil Liberties Protection Officer of the U.S. Director of National Intelligence) is required to investigate complaints (independent from the Director of National Intelligence) to determine whether the EO safeguards or other U.S. laws were violated and if so, determine an appropriate binding remedy.

2. New **Data Protection Review Court** created by the **U.S. DOJ** to provide independent **and binding review** of the **CLPO's decisions**.

The **UK has also in** process to review legal adequacy of the Biden EO for UK-U.S data access/transfers/flows under the UK GDPR.



Biden EO 14086: USG IC Implementation

7/3/23 US ODNI releases IC implementation policies and procedures for:

- FBI
- NSA
- CIA
- DHS
- DEA
- ODNI
- Office of National Security Intelligence (ONSI)
- National Reconnaissance Office (NRO)
- Coast Guard
- DOE
- State
- Treasury

https://lnkd.in/eqvc8iiB



EC U.S. Adequacy Decision 7/10/23

Biden EO 14086 + U.S. DOJ Data Protection Review Court Regulations = "essentially equivalent" to GDPR compliance for EU-U.S. PD access/transfers/flows ("EU-U.S. Data Privacy Framework")

Resolves <u>Schrems II</u>US PD surveillance concerns under EO 12333 U.S. signals intelligence activity & FISA 702 "bulk" data collection, without effective redress required by EU fundamental human rights -Limits U.S. Intel Agencies & Signals Intel access to PD "necessary & proportionate" to protect Natl Sec

-Provides "effective redress rights" to EU individuals (BUT EDPB Opinion + Info Note)

U.S. DOC Voluntary self-cert w/ 1yr renewal (former renewal 2 yrs) + **FTC enforcement** (DOT for airlines & regulated transportation services)

GDPR equivalent DP Obligations: Transparency; Data min & accuracy; Purpose limitation (specified Nat'l Sec purposes & Consent for new purposes); Enhanced safeguards for GDPR sensitive PD; Individual data rights; Requirements for downstream data recipients; Redress mechanisms for law enforcement violations

NOW EC US Adeq Dec adopted & when Cos EU-U.S. Data Priv Framework Certified, then EU SCC not required

If use SCC, TIA more streamlined due to FISA 702 (but not EO 12333) concerns resolved by EO 14086



EDPB Opin 2/28 + Info Note on EC US Adeq Dec 7/12

DPRC is significant improvement over prior DoS ombudsperson w/ more effective powers + more safeguards to prevent access to PD re: non-US persons like DPRC Special Advocates & PCLOB Review BUT concerns re: practical functioning of redress mechanism:

- Some DP principles same as former EU-US Privacy Shield (Ex: opt-out consent) EC Final: Opt-in C req'd 4 GDPR Sens PD: health, race & ethnicity, polit/relig/philos beliefs, union memb, sex life.
- Lack of specific rules on auto decision making & profiling: EC Final: US Cs subject to GDPR; US Laws prevent: FHA; Civ Rts Act; FCRA; HIPAA.
- Concern re: prior independent authorization of surveillance
 - None re: EO 12333 re: collection of data in bulk EC Final: Bulk lim'd to 6 Natl Sec objectives: 1 terrorism; 2 hostage taking & holding captives; 3 foreign espionage; 4 sabotage; 5 assassination; 6 WMD.
- EO 12333 no independent review by court or other independent review body
- DPRC no notice to Data Subjects of violation or determ requiring appropriate remedy
- No appeal from DPRC to Court or indep review body outside USG



US DOC PS Website Updated for EO 14086 DPF

7/17 US DOC EU/Swiss/UK DPF website live for self-certs

- https://www.dataprivacyframework.gov/s/
- Cert updates from EU-US **PS to DPF due**:
- EU 10/10/23
- PS/DPF HR & non-HR (marketing) Swiss (due 10/17) + UK too
- Updates 1 Yr Anniv of Cert
- 1st time DPF Applicants
 - Implement DPF Principles
 - "Publicly declare" commitment to DPF Principles
 - Post a DPF compliant website privacy policy (non-HR; HR internal)
 - Certify compliance w/ DPF Principles to US DOC



FISA 702 Reauth: WH Pres Intell Advisory Bd 13 Recs

7/31/23 FISA 702 Reauth WH Pres Intell Adv Bd Rpt 13 Recs

- 1. AG remove FBI auth to query for evidence of non-nat'l security crime in FISA 702 data
- 2. DNI & AG estab rigorous FISA 702 pre-approval across USG IC for U.S. person content queries
- 3. FBI designate FISA 702 CCO & training across FBI
- 4. IC As imp plans for recs 1, 2, & 3 to DNI & AG w/in 2 mos & execute w/in 6 mos
- 5. Senior personnel exchanges to create FBI culture of FISA 702 compliance
- 6. EOP new review mechan to assess FISA 702 compliance and ensure corrective action
- 7. DNI & AG research tech to enhance FISA 702 oversight & rpt to POTUS, C & Intell Oversight Bd
- 8. AG prop funding legis for FISA 702 oversight
- 9. Declass "to greatest extent possible" FISA 702 categories authorized
- 10. DNI & AG submit new FISA 702 counternarcotics cert to FISC
- **11. DNI & AG new stands of accountability** for FISA 702 users
- 12. DNI & DOJ prop legis to codify FISA 702 adherence to EO 14086
- 13. DNI & AG prop legis to require amicus curiae in all annual FISA 702 certs to FISC

Watch this Space for Biden Admin & C action



US Biden EO 14086 DATA PRIVACY FRAMEWORK: IS IT EU ADEQUATE?

Takeaways

Double – check:

- GDPR triggers + US FISA Sec 702 & EO 12333 (ECS)
- EU-US PD access/transfers/flows compliance
 - inc service providers/vendors/biz partners/B2B customers
 - Update USG PD request review process & procedures

Cos certified to EU-US Privacy Shield:

- Continue PS comply & update website (& HR) privacy policies for EU/Swiss/UK Non-HR & HR DPF
- Update Data Processing Agmts relying on EU-US PS to DPF
- Keep EU & UK SCC in place pending <u>Schrems III</u>

In Alt: If use EU SCC: make sure using "new" (under GDPR 6/4/21) ones + update TIAs + Supp Sec Meas to reflect EU-US DPF Adeq Decision

If trigger GDPR w/o using EU-US PS/DPF or EU/UK SCC: choose 1 (or both (in alternative) & implement.

Watch this space for FISA 702 Reauth & <u>Schrems III</u>



Thanks for Listening!

Linda V. Priebe, JD, CIPP/E

Partner & Co-Chair, Government, Regulatory & Compliance Practice Group Culhane Meadows PLLC Washington, DC Ipriebe@cm.law

